

X-TRA NOTES:

Fewer actions this time. Possibly because of learning curve.

Needs to be more "blind" or "randomized".

This is starting to be familiar biological information...need to get into different scenarios.

Given new facilities (e.g. CCFB screens) we should figure out what to do differently.

Whoever has a pipe from their island has an advantage.

This represents a very good flexibility tool.

Need to get to the water supply bottom line.

Need to get creative for other-than-flow measures which will benefit the environment; add more environmental tools which can be an offset.

Water quality issues have made this a more complicated exercise...time taken for water quality decisions will probably shrink.

Water south of the delta was for sale, but remained too expensive for the exporters to take advantage. Other sale options for water users are part of the market. Perhaps new pricing guidelines are needed, if these are not realistic.

Pricing needs to be more realistic. "It's a market."

Shouldn't set pricing guidelines so that sales are forced or prohibited..."It's a market."

Water supply, quality, fish, etc. is pretty well coordinated as far as we are going, but there are many variables which are not included. The rest of the CalFed program needs to be considered.

To optimize the system, firm criteria (ops) need to be more flexible.

Need to display the consequences of new actions v. historical biological situation.